

Message

---

**From:** Tomiak, Robert [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E43D67FE354A4D06BE80AFA6EB65E614-TOMIAK, ROB]  
**Sent:** 4/28/2017 1:34:41 PM  
**To:** Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ [Edward\_A\_Boling@ceq.eop.gov]  
**CC:** Knight, Kelly [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=47fc4b0c90f94826a1d9a48381f4009f-Knight, Kel]; Marshall, Tom [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=5d29134e5e32489b9ab0aeb262f55075-TMARSHAL]  
**Subject:** RE: Rosemont Mine

Ted,

My initial reaction is that I'd like USDA/USFS to speak to why their timeline does not mention a final permit decision by USACE. Hard to understand any benefit to USFS of moving forward to ROD prior to a final permit decision, which even if overturned and granted is certain to incorporate additional mitigation requirements which should be articulated in the ROD.

I think it would also be helpful for them to frame the referral timeline since technically according to your regulations that decision is tied to the public release of the final EIS, which is well past. Given that the referral clock is now unclear, I think we need that decision point present somewhere in their timeline for all of us to consider agree to.

Thanks, Rob

-----Original Message-----

From: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ [mailto:Edward\_A\_Boling@ceq.eop.gov]  
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 9:05 AM  
To: Tomiak, Robert <tomiak.robert@epa.gov>  
Cc: Knight, Kelly <knight.kelly@epa.gov>; Marshall, Tom <marshall.tom@epa.gov>  
Subject: RE: Rosemont Mine

Attached is the Forest Service proposal for discussion at 2pm today.